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#### Abstract

The exponent $\phi$ charactenzing the divergence of diamagnetic susceptibility of a granular superconductor netwe ". in two dimensions is calculated using a low concentration series expansion, and found to be $121=003$ as compared with $\phi=135$ predicted from the scaling relation $\phi=2 y-t$ and a recent simulation This discrepancy is probably due to the shortness of our serres


Recently, the critical behaviour of the diamagnetic susceptibility of disordered Josephson [1-4] and related arrays [5-6] have been extensively studied. The diamagnetic susceptibihit $\chi$ is expected to diverge with the exponent $\phi$ at the percolation threshold in zero externai field:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi \sim\left(p-p_{c}\right)^{-\phi} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The predictions by de Gennes [5] and by Alexander [6] give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=2 \nu-t \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu$ is the correlation length exponent for percolation and $t$ is the conductivitv exponent. This relation gives $\phi=1.36$ if we set $\nu=1.33$ and $t=1.30$ in two dimensions. Later, Johr and Lubensky [3] derived a field theory for a randomly ciluted Josephson array and obtained a mean field theory for this model John et al [7] have derived (2) using the scaling theory.

Most recently, Roux and Hansen [8] carried out a computer simulation to calculate $\phi$ using a transfer matrix method. They found $\phi=1.36 \pm 0.02$ which agrees very well with (2). In this paper, we present a series expansion calculation of $\chi$ which gives $\phi=1.21 \pm 0.03$. This is smaller than the result predicted by (2) and the above simulation result.

The Hamiltonian of the disordered granular Josephson array can be virten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=-\sum_{\left\langle x, x^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle} K_{x, x^{\prime}} \cos \left(\theta_{x}-\theta_{x^{\prime}}-A_{x, x^{\prime}}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{x, x^{\prime}}=2 \pi / \phi_{t} \int_{x}^{x^{\prime}} A \cdot d l$ is the line integral of the vector potential $A$ between the nearest-neighbour grains in the units of the fux quantum $\phi_{0}=h c / 2 e$ and $K_{x, x^{\prime}}$ equals $K$ with probability $p$ and zero with probability $1-p$. The diamagnetic susceptibility $x$ per site (or per bond) at zero external field can be defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{0}=\left.\frac{1}{S} \frac{\partial^{2} H\left(\left\{\theta_{1}\right\}\right)}{\partial B^{2}}\right|_{B \rightarrow 0} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where $B$ is the external magnetic field, $S$ is the area of the system, $\left\{\theta_{2}\right\}$ is defined by minimizing the energy $H$ and satisfies the equatior,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial H\left(\left\{\theta_{0}\right\}\right)}{\partial \theta_{t}}=0 \quad \imath=1,2, \ldots, n_{\mathrm{s}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{s}$ is number of sites of the system. To perform series expansion, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi \equiv \sum_{G} \chi_{0}(G) P(G) \sim\left|p_{c}-p\right|^{-\phi} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is over all the graphs $G$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(G)=p^{n_{b}(G)}(1-p)^{n_{p}^{\prime}(G)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the probability weight for the graph $G$, where $n_{b}(G)$ is the number of bonds in $G$ and $n_{p}(G)$ is the number of perimeter bonds in $G$.

We calculated $\chi$ using a cumulant expansion method [9]. The cumulant of susceptibility $\chi$ for a diagram $\Gamma$ is defined recursively as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{c}(\Gamma)=\chi(\Gamma)-\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \chi_{\mathrm{c}}(\gamma) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi(\Gamma)$ is the bare value for graph $\Gamma$ and $\gamma$ is a subset of $\Gamma$. For a graph $\Gamma_{1}$ with dangling bonds, since there is no current in the dangling bond, we have $\chi\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)=\chi(\gamma)$ where $\gamma$ is the graph which the dangling bond is removed Therefore, the cumulant of $y\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$ is zeto. Thus we oniy need to consider the graph with no free ends. We have generated the diagrams with no free ends and calcuiated $\chi$ up to the order $p^{16}$ on a square lattice. The series coefficients are listed in table 1 . We analysed the series using the Padé approximants and the differential Padé approximants [10]. Because we know the percolation threshold $p_{c}$ on square lattice exactly, we can get $\phi$ by reading from the pole-residue plot. We obtained $\phi=1.21 \pm 0.03$ where the error bar comes from fitting the data This value is smaller than the result of Roux amd Hansen. This discrepancy is probably due to the short series we obtained since our series only has 12 non-zero coefficients.

Table 1. The coeffictents of the series

| $n$ | $c(n)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 00 |
| 2 | 00 |
| 3 | 00 |
| 4 | 0125 |
| 5 | 90 |
| 6 | 0667 |
| 7 | -0500 |
| 8 | 4375 |
| 9 | -5467 |
| 10 | 26188 |
| 11 | -40508 |
| 12 | 151620 |
| 13 | -272880 |
| 14 | 920631 |
| 15 | -1988.545 |
| 16 | 6318875 |

In summary, we have demonstrated that the exponent $\phi$ of the diamagnetic susceptibility of the granular superconducting network can be calculated using the series expansion method. We obtained $\phi=1.21 \pm 0.03$ which is smaller than the theoretical prediction and numerical simulation.
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